

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 CHANDRA KISHOR,

No. CIV S-03-1219-LKK-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE  
15 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

16 Respondents.  
17 \_\_\_\_\_/

18 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings a petition for a writ of habeas  
19 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
20 Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.

21 On October 3, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations  
22 herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the  
23 findings and recommendations were to be filed within 20 days. Timely objections to the  
24 findings and recommendations have been filed.

25 ///


26 ///

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-  
2 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the  
3 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and  
4 by proper analysis.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 3, 2007, are adopted in  
7 full;  
8 2. Petitioner's amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and  
9 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

10 DATED: November 16, 2007.

11  
12   
13 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
14 SENIOR JUDGE  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26